circumstances of the mining camps rendered such a provision necessary. Mr. Sitaramaiya still urged that the section was objectionable and should be repealed, and the Dewan promised to note the representation and consider it when a further amendment of the Regulation came under consideration.

Mr. Ramachar presented a written representation on the subject.

Several other members also took part in the discussion.

Reply of Government.—The representations made will be noted and considered in the light of actual experience when the time comes to further amend the law.

SUBJECT NO. 3.

A Legislative Council for Mysore.

Messrs. B. Kesavaiengar, Kesavadasaiengar, Amble Anniah Pandit, Laksminarasimhachar, S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B., A. Narasimmaiengar, C. Srinivasa Rao and A. Ramanna.

Summary of discussion.—The establishment of a Legislative Council is necessary. This Assembly is now twenty-five years old and people have considerably improved. It is high time for establishing such a Council. In addition to official members being nominated by Government, one non-official member for each district may be selected. The Representative Assembly should be given the right to elect a certain number of members. The existence of the Legislative Council should not however interfere with the utility and importance of the Representative Assembly.

Reply of Government.—The representation is noted and will be considered. If a Legislative Council is established, it will not affect the usefulness of the Representative Assembly.

SUBJECT NO. 4.

Introduction of the Hindu Wills Act and Probate and Administration Act.

Messrs. B. Kesavaiengar, Kesavadasaiengar Belur Taluk, and S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga.

Summary of discussion.—The introduction of (1) The Hindu Wills Act is prayed for; also (2) The Probate and Administration Act.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao presented a note on the subject prepared by Mr. C. Krishna Murti.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT NO. 5.

Transfer of Property and Mamalatdars' Acts to be introduced.

Messrs. B. Kesavaiengar, Kesavadasaiengar and S. R. Balakrishna Rao.

Summary of discussion.—The following Acts may be brought into force in Mysore:—

1. The Transfer of Property Act, 1882.
2. The Mamalatdars' Act.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao, on the other hand, spoke against the introduction of the Mamalatdars' Act, and said that Section 9 of the Specific Relief Act and Section 145 of the Code of Criminal Procedure gave sufficient relief; and added that in Bombay there was a good deal of opposition against the bill recently introduced to amend that Act. He presented a copy of a memorial addressed by the Bombay Presidency Association to the Legislative Council against the Act. He also suggested that if the

Possessory Courts Regulation is introduced into Mysore, powers under that Regulation should be given to Assistant Commissioners and not to Amildars.

Reply of Government.—(1) The Transfer of Property Act has not been introduced into Mysore and there is no present intention of doing so; but the general principles contained in that Act are already recognised in the Mysore Courts, and this seems sufficient.

(2) The Draft Possessory Courts Regulation is pending before Government. The suggestion to give powers under the Regulation only to Assistant Commissioners is noted.

SUBJECT No. 6.

Introduction of the Small Cause Courts Regulation.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B.

Summary of discussion.—The Small Cause Courts Regulation may be introduced into the State.

Reply of Government.—The matter is under the consideration of Government.

SUBJECT No. 7.

Introduction of the Trusts Act.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B., Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—A Regulation on the lines of the Indian Trusts Act (II of 1882) may be passed.

Reply of Government.—Noted for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 8.

The Guardian and Wards Regulation.

Messrs. B. Kesavaiengar and Kesavadasaiengar and S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B.

Summary of discussion.—The Guardian and Wards Regulation may be introduced into Mysore.

Reply of Government.—The subject is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 9.

Abolition of the Arms Regulation.

Mr. S. Sitaramaiya, Advocate, Shimoga.

Summary of discussion.—The necessity to continue the enforcement of the Arms Regulation seems to have come to an end. This law may be abolished. If this is not practicable at present, the inclusion of "Guptis" (or sticks with swords inside) under "Arms" may be done away with. At least certain classes of people should be exempted from the provisions of the Regulation.

Reply of Government.—The Arms Regulation cannot be repealed. 'Guptis' fall under the definition of Arms and cannot be excluded therefrom.

The Arms Rules provide for exemptions in a large number of cases. Persons desiring exemptions in individual cases should apply to the Deputy Commissioner.

SUBJECT No. 10.

The introduction of the Rent Recovery Act.

Messrs. Ganapati Subhayit and C. Nagappa, Nagar Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—As it is very difficult to recover rents from tenants, the Rent Recovery Act may, it is requested, be introduced into this State.

Reply of Government.—Not necessary. Section 97 of the Land Revenue Code affords the necessary facilities.